# **METHODOLOGY ARTICLE**

# **Open Access**



# Clustering based approach for population level identification of condition-associated T-cell receptor $\beta$ -chain CDR3 sequences

Dawit A. Yohannes<sup>1,2</sup>, Katri Kaukinen<sup>3</sup>, Kalle Kurppa<sup>4</sup>, Päivi Saavalainen<sup>1,2†</sup> and Dario Greco<sup>5,6,7\*†</sup>

\*Correspondence: dario.greco@tuni.fi <sup>†</sup>Päivi Saavalainen and Dario Greco have contributed equally to this work <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

# Abstract

**Background:** Deep immune receptor sequencing, RepSeg, provides unprecedented opportunities for identifying and studying condition-associated T-cell clonotypes, represented by T-cell receptor (TCR) CDR3 sequences. However, due to the immense diversity of the immune repertoire, identification of condition relevant TCR CDR3s from total repertoires has mostly been limited to either "public" CDR3 sequences or to comparisons of CDR3 frequencies observed in a single individual. A methodology for the identification of condition-associated TCR CDR3s by direct population level comparison of RepSeg samples is currently lacking.

**Results:** We present a method for direct population level comparison of RepSeq samples using immune repertoire sub-units (or sub-repertoires) that are shared across individuals. The method first performs unsupervised clustering of CDR3s within each sample. It then finds matching clusters across samples, called immune sub-repertoires, and performs statistical differential abundance testing at the level of the identified sub-repertoires. It finally ranks CDR3s in differentially abundant sub-repertoires for relevance to the condition. We applied the method on total TCR CDR3ß RepSeg datasets of celiac disease patients, as well as on public datasets of yellow fever vaccination. The method successfully identified celiac disease associated CDR3ß sequences, as evidenced by considerable agreement of TRBV-gene and positional amino acid usage patterns in the detected CDR3ß sequences with previously known CDR3ßs specific to gluten in celiac disease. It also successfully recovered significantly high numbers of previously known CDR3ß sequences relevant to each condition than would be expected by chance.

**Conclusion:** We conclude that immune sub-repertoires of similar immuno-genomic features shared across unrelated individuals can serve as viable units of immune repertoire comparison, serving as proxy for identification of condition-associated CDR3s.

Keywords: TCR differential abudance analysis, Celiac disease associated TCR clonotypes, Immune repertoire analysis, TCR repertoire analysis, Immuno-informatics, Antigen-specific TCR identification, Computational antigen-specificity identification, TCR clustering



© The Author(s) 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publi cdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

# Background

Targeted high-throughput sequencing of T-cell receptors, RepSeq, has enabled in-depth profiling of immune repertoires [1]. One critical application of RepSeq technology is the identification of condition-associated T-cell clones based on observed changes in T-cell clone frequencies. This allows the tracking of immune cells that have expanded or contracted following antigen exposure or treatment. Such analysis, however, is complicated by the fact that T-cell receptor (TCR) sequences are highly diverse, with estimated tens of millions of unique TCR expressing T-cell clones largely unique to individuals [2, 3], making direct comparison of T-cell clone abundances across multiple sample groups challenging.

A frequently used approach to the identification of condition-specific clonotypes across sample groups is the investigation of the so called public clonotypes (represented typically by unique TCR CDR3 sequences), which are commonly observed across many individuals [4-11]. However, such shared clonotypes make up a small portion of the total immune response in each individual. For instance, others and we have found that only around 10% of the response to gluten in celiac disease (CD) patients involves public CDR3 sequences [9, 12]. Thus, more should be learned about the adaptive immune response by also studying the private response. There are currently few methods that allow detection of both private and public disease-relevant clonotypes. DeWitt et al. reported a method that compares frequencies of clonotypes in repertoires sampled from the same individual to identify differentially abundant clonotypes, thus identifying disease-relevant clonotypes within each individual [13]. An improved variant of the method in DeWitt et al. accounting for time-dependent variation was also reported [14]. A recent method called ALICE [15] allows detection of relevant clonotypes from single repertoires by comparing observed number of TCR neighbors against expected number of neighbors estimated from data generated using a statistical TCR recombination model. Pogorelyv et al. also reported a Bayesian statistical method for comparing and detecting expanded/relevant clonotypes between repertoires of same individual at different time points [16]. These methods allow the identification of interesting clones, which are also private to individuals, but do not allow the direct investigation of differentially abundant clonotypes at the population level although ad hoc combining of results from multiple samples is still possible. Moreover, except ALICE, the methods require acquisition of multiple samples from each individual. Thus, there is currently a need for methods that perform direct population level comparison of clonal differential abundance for the identification of condition-specific T-cell clonotypes in longitudinal or case-control RepSeq datasets.

We recently showed that over-represented amino acid motifs in CD-associated TCR CDR3 $\beta$  sequences, originally identified from tetramer binding antigen-reactive T-cells [17, 18], were also detectable from the unsorted total peripheral blood immune repertoires of celiac disease patients despite the immense repertoire diversity [9]. This observation and closer inspection of the CD-associated TCR CDR3 $\beta$  sequences, strongly suggested that CDR3 $\beta$  sequences associated to CD exhibit sequence-level similarities that can be used to group them into clusters, reflecting similar immuno-genomic features involved in the immune reaction that are possibly shared by patients. Such high sequence similarity had been observed in B-cell receptors (BCRs) associated to chronic

lymphocytic leukemia (referred to as BCR stereotypy), with potential clinical relevance [19, 20]. More recently, Dash et al. and Glanville et al. have shown that antigen-specific TCR sequences collected from different patients could be clustered into antigen specificity groups that share sequence similarity [21, 22]. Overall, recent RepSeq studies have reported sequence similarity in CDR3 sequences associated with conditions, a characteristic of the immune response that could be harnessed for the identification of condition relevant CDR3 sequences from total unsorted repertoires by comparing sample groups.

In this work, we propose differential abundance analysis at the level of shared clusters of T-cell receptor CDR3 $\beta$  sequences, called sub-repertoires, to enable identification of disease relevant clusters of CDR3 $\beta$  sequences by comparing RepSeq experimental groups. We first applied within-sample CDR3 $\beta$  clustering to reduce the diversity of immune repertoires into manageable and comparable units of analysis. We showed that these clusters of receptors, made up of clonotypes with highly similar frequencies of nucleotide or amino acid subsequences (k-mers), form biologically meaningful units of analysis since they are commonly present in repertoires of unrelated individuals. We then performed statistical differential abundance analysis at the level of these sub-repertoires for the identification of condition-specific CDR3 $\beta$  clonotypes. We also showed that this methodology allows successful detection of condition-associated CDR3 sequences, both private and public, from immune repertoire datasets of unrelated HLA-matched celiac disease patients, and yellow fever virus vaccination volunteers, by comparing groups of samples at the population level.

# Results

We hypothesized that clustering of CDR3s in the global repertoire not only reduces the enormous diversity of the immune repertoires into manageable units, but also has the potential for allowing indirect detection of condition associated CDR3s by first comparing the abundance of CDR3 clusters between sample groups (Fig. 1). To investigate the validity of such an approach, we first evaluated if a cluster of CDR3 $\beta$  sequences in one sample could be similar, in terms of subsequence composition, to another cluster in another sample. Importantly, the cluster must be closer to its match in another sample than it is to other clusters of CDR3 $\beta$ s in its home sample, ideally incorporating information not just from the germline-encoded variable (V), diversity (D) and/or joining (J) regions but also from the non-templated nucleotides in the N1 and N2 regions, signifying conserved immuno-genomic as well as antigen induced TCR selection features across samples.

We observed that such clusters of CDR3 $\beta$ s, with closely similar subsequence composition, exist across samples in unrelated individuals. For example, for the two CD PBMC repertoire samples CD005 and CD006 (unrelated celiac disease patients), we first subsampled 5000 CDR3 $\beta$ s each from their total unique nucleotide CDR3 $\beta$  sequences, and performed unsupervised clustering of the CDR3 $\beta$ s within each sample. The centroids of all clusters from both samples were then pooled, and clustered again to identify matching CDR3 $\beta$  cluster centroids (steps 1 and 2 on Fig. 1). Out of the 32 identified centroid clusters i.e., sub-repertoires, 30 (~94%) had centroids representing CDR3 $\beta$  clusters from both samples (Fig. 2a). The same analysis on all 8 samples of CD PBMC dataset

CDR3 sequence					AGAT	AGCA	AGCC		
TGTGCCAGCAGTCCTAGGTCGTACAATGAGCA					0	2	0		
TGCAGTGCTAGTATACTAGCGGGAGGGCCCACAGATACGCA					1	0	0		
TGTGCCAGCAGCCAAGATTTTTTAAGCATTAATGAAAAACT					1	2	1		
TGTGCCAGCCGCCAAGATTTTTTAAGCATTAATGAAAAACT					1	1	1		
Sample 1	Sample 2 Sar	Cluster ma	tching (acro	Cluster (1 C2 C3 SS Samp	centroi bles)	ds	AGAT 0 1 1	AGCA 2 0 1.5	AGCC
			SubRep 1	C2		C5	C	3	
	$\sim$		SubRep 2	C2		C4	C	2	
			oublicp 2						
		$\rightarrow$	SubRep 3	C1		C3	C	6	
			SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	C1 C4 C5		C3 C1 C2	C	6 4 5	
		Differential	SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2		6 4 5	
	Sample 1	Differential Sample 2	SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2 LogFC		6 4 5	
SubRep 1	Sample 1	Differential Sample 2 C5	SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49		6 4 5	
SubRep 1 SubRep 2	Sample 1 C1 C2	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4	SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 0.30		6 4 5	b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3	SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 <b>0.30</b> -0.20		6 4 5	b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1	Abundance Sample 3 C2 C1 C4	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 <b>0.30</b> -0.20 0.01		6 4 5	b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1 C2	Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te	esting	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 <b>0.30</b> -0.20 0.01 <b>2.00</b>		6 4 5 5 * DA Su * DA Su	b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1 C2	SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5 didate DA CE	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te 	uence	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 0.30 -0.20 0.01 2.00		6 4 5 * DA Su	b-repertoire b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1 C2 Filtering cano	Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5 Sample 4 Sample 5	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te  DR3 seq Rank	uence	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 <b>0.30</b> -0.20 0.01 <b>2.00</b> 25		6 4 5 5	b-repertoire b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1 C2 Filtering cano DA Sub-Rep SubRe	Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C1 C4 C5 C2 C2 C1 C2 C2 C1 C2 C2 C1 C2 C2 C1 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te  DR3 seq Rank 0.	uence ( P-va 01	C3 C1 C2 LogF( -0.49 0.30 -0.20 0.01 2.00 es	* Enriche	6 4 5 5 * DA Su * DA Su	b-repertoire b-repertoire
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1 C2 Filtering cance DA Sub-Rep SubRep SubRep	Abundance SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5 didate DA CC pertoire p 2 o 2	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te  DR3 seq Rank 0. 0.	uence ( P-va 01 07	C3 C1 C2 LogF( -0.49 0.30 -0.20 0.01 2.00 es	* Enriche	6 4 5 5 * DA Su * DA Su * DA Su	b-repertoire b-repertoire pnotype
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 CS C4 C3 C1 C2 Filtering cand DA Sub-Rep SubRep SubRep SubRep	Abundance SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5 didate DA CC pertoire p 2 p 2 p 2	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te  DR3 seq Rank 0. 0.	uence (P-va 01 .07 .10	C3 C1 C2 LogF( -0.49 0.30 -0.20 0.01 2.00	* Enriche	6 4 5 5 • DA Su • DA Su	b-repertoire b-repertoire pnotype
SubRep 1 SubRep 2 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 CDR3 Seq 1 2 3 4	Sample 1 C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	Differential Sample 2 C5 C4 C3 C1 C2 Filtering cano DA Sub-Rep SubRep SubRep SubRep	SubRep 3 SubRep 3 SubRep 4 SubRep 5 Abundance Sample 3 C3 C2 C1 C4 C5 didate DA CC pertoire p 2 p 2 p 2 p 5	C1 C4 C5 (DA) te  DR3 seq Rank 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	uence (P-va 01 .07 .10 001	C3 C1 C2 LogFC -0.49 0.30 -0.20 0.01 2.00	* Enrich	6 4 5 5 • DA Su • DA Su • DA Su	b-repertoire b-repertoire onotype

showed similar result, with all sub-repertoires having representative centroids from multiple samples (Additional file 1: Fig. 2Sa). As this could be expected if clusters are largely defined by germline-encoded V, D and J genes, we performed a number of analyses to assess the extent to which such nucleotide 4-mer defined clusters are influenced by germline sequences. We first compared V-gene, VJ-gene, VDJ-gene and J-gene usage profiles between matching clusters in sub-repertoires. In both the two sample and all 8

## (See figure on next page.)

Fig. 2 CDR3 sub-repertoire matching in samples of two unrelated individuals, a hierarchical clustering of CDR3 cluster centroids from samples CD005 (black) and CD006 (green) from our CD PBMC dataset identified 32 sub-repertoires of which 30 (94%) had cluster representatives from both samples. Branch colors indicate sub-repertoires. Only 2 of the 32 (6%) sub-repertoires (shown in black dots) are homogenous, containing cluster centroids from only one sample. b V-, J-, VJ- and VDJ gene usage frequency was compared between clusters coming from the two samples, the percentage of sub-repertoires with significantly different gene usage with p value below 0.05 (using chi-square test of independence) is shown. **c** Number of different possible 4-mers that start at each position is estimated using Shannon's entropy for 42nt long CDR3s, highest entropy is observed in positions in which CDR3s have the N1 and N2 region. Similar result was obtained in all samples. 4-mers that are not completely within the N1 or N2 region but either end or start in the regions are counted towards them. d Top 20 4-mers with the highest variance in frequency across the 5000 subsampled CDR3s within a single sample (CD005) is shown. e The frequency of where (in V, N1, D, N2, J) the top 20 most variable 4-mers are found in the CDR3s is shown. **f** The classification importance of k-mers and genes in distinguishing 4-mer based clusters within a single sample (CD005) is shown. **g** The frequency of where (in V, N1, D,N2, J) the top 20 most discriminative 4-mers (ordered left to right) are found in the CD005 repertoire is shown

sample analyses, germline genes do not completely explain the subsequence composition similarity in matching clusters, with around 50% for V-gene and J-gene, and up to 80% for VJ- and VDJ- genes, of the sub-repertoires containing matching clusters with significantly different gene usage profiles (Fig. 2b and Additional file 1: Fig. 2Sb and c). We then looked at the diversity of nucleotide 4-mers that start at each position of CDR3s (from a single sample) starting from the conserved cysteine to the end of the CDR3s. This was done for CDR3s with the most prevalent CDR3 length of 42 (Additional file 1: Fig. 2Sd). As we expected, we generally observed low diversity/variation of possible 4-mers in positions that only have germline genes and the highest 4-mer diversity in positions that have N1 and N2 regions (Fig. 2c), suggesting k-mer frequency estimates would be most different among k-mers that appear in these high entropy regions. We thus evaluated the regions of CDR3s from where 4-mers with the highest variation in frequency (among CDR3s) originate. Among the top 20 such high variation 4-mers, we observed that while some mainly originate from V or J-gene regions, many originate from the N1, D, N2 regions including the k-mer with the highest variance (GGGG) which originates primarily from the N1, D, N2 regions (Fig. 2d, e), suggesting that k-mers with the most potential for discriminating between CDR3s arise from such high diversity regions. To confirm this further, we built a classification model using Random Forests with clusters (within sample clusters) or sub-repertoires (across sample cluster matches) as classes, and nucleotide 4-mers, one hot encoded V-genes, J-genes, VDJ, and VJ as variables, and evaluated the importance of the variables in classifying clonotypes into clusters or subrepertoires. This showed, although J-genes have a higher median importance, k-mers in general have the highest importance in defining the classes (in clusters within a sample, Fig. 2f), with more k-mers having importance values above the highest valued J-gene than the number of all possible 13 J-genes (in the 8 sample sub-repertoires, Additional file 1: Fig. 2Se). Analysis of where k-mers within the top 20 most discriminative variables originate showed more than half arise primarily from N1,D,N2 regions both for clusters within sample (Fig. 2g) and even more so in the sub-repertoires across the 8 samples (Additional file 1: Fig. 2Sf), giving similar results to what we observed with the most variable k-mers. Similar analyses with all k-mers that primarily (most frequently) originate from any of the N1, D and N2 regions showed that a subset of such k-mers had the



highest importance scores compared to all variables both for classifying within sample clusters or cross-sample sub-repertoires (Additional file 1: Fig. 2Sg and 2Sh). In summary, these results suggest that CDR3 $\beta$  clusters and sub-repertoires defined by k-mers capture discriminative information from the non-templated, junctional insertion/deletion regions and do not simply recapitulate clonotype grouping by simple germline gene usage, as a result leading to better clustering of clonotypes with shared immunological information both within and across samples.



We then investigated the extent of sub-repertoire sharing when there are more number of samples in the analysis. We performed the clustering and cluster matching analysis on all 8 samples of our celiac disease (CD) PBMC datasets, from both pre-gluten challenge (day 0) and post-gluten challenge (day 6) conditions, at different sequencing depths per sample (Fig. 3). The proportion of sub-repertoires containing representative centroids from only one sample was negligibly low in the ten analyses done at different repertoire depths (Fig. 3a), while at minimum 10-20% of sub-repertoires contained CDR3 cluster centroids from all 8 samples. But cumulatively, more than ~40% (with hc matching) and 60% (with km matching) of the sub-repertoires contain centroids from at least 6 of the 8 samples (Fig. 3b), suggesting that enough of the sub-repertoires are present in multiple samples to allow comparison of sub-repertoire abundance at the population level, and to enable indirect detection of condition relevant CDR3s.

Applying the method on our datasets, for the CD PBMC dataset (n = 4), the method identified 2315 and 2467 CDR3 $\beta$  sequences that showed significant enrichment following gluten exposure when using nucleotide 4-mer and amino-acid 3-mer feature vectors respectively. For the CD Gut dataset (n = 5), the method identified 2291 and 2404 enriched CDR3 $\beta$ s during active celiac disease when using nucleotide 4-mer and amino-acid 3-mer feature vectors respectively. Figure 4 shows the top 20 enriched CDR3 $\beta$ s detected from both datasets when using nucleotide 4-mer and amino-acid 3-mer feature vectors (for the list of all detected CDR3 $\beta$ s see Additional file 2). Considering the high diversity of immune repertoire datasets, the results of the analyses performed with nt 4-mers and aa 3-mers showed high overlap (as high as 70% in CD PBMC and 78% in CD Gut, Additional file 1: Figure 3Sa and b), suggesting results of comparable relevance could be obtained by either feature vectors, although the latter is more computationally expensive.



in orange bars at the top

To assess the method's sensitivity to detect CD associated clonotypes, and its ability to detect enrichment beyond clonotype size differences due to sampling variation, we applied the nucleotide 4-mer analysis on same condition CD PBMC samples prepared by a pooling and random sampling strategy (see the *Analysis of same condition*  *samples* section in Additional file 1). For both pre-gluten exposure day 0 and postgluten exposure day 6, ten unpaired comparisons of same condition, randomly drawn samples, identified negligibly low numbers of enriched clonotypes with a mean of 1.5 among day 0, and 2.4 among day 6 same condition comparisons (Additional file 1: Fig. 5S), suggesting that the method is sensitive to sampling variation in picking relevant clonotypes.

For method validation, we compared the detected CD associated enriched CDR3ßs to known celiac disease associated CDR3ß sequences in the literature. The detected enriched CDR3ßs had significantly increased usage of previously reported TRBVgenes associated with gluten-reactive CDR3 $\beta$  sequences [17, 18, 23–26], with the enriched CDR3ßs from CD PBMC dataset showing biased usage of TRBV-gene families 4,5,7, and 9, specifically TRBV04-02, TRBV07-02 and TRBV09-01 (Fig. 5a, and Additional file 1: Fig. 4Sa). The enriched CDR3βs from CD gut dataset also showed biased usage of previously reported TRBV06-01 in addition to new interesting genes such as TRBV10-03 (Fig. 5b, and Additional file 1: Fig. 4Sb). Furthermore, per-position amino acid usage analysis of the enriched CDR3s bearing some of the over-used TRBV genes provides interesting insights. Besides detecting CDR3ßs with the already known amino acid motifs in gluten-reactive CDR3 $\beta$ s with a dominant usage of Arginine (R) in position 6 [17, 18] (Fig. 5c and Additional file 1: Fig. 4Sc), the method identified other previously unreported over used genes such as TRBV03-01,TRBV15-01 and TRBV10-01 in the detected enriched CDR3s with previously under-appreciated per-position amino acid usage patterns, such as the previously reported (although in Humanized HLA-DQ8 transgenic mice) over-usage of Glutamic acid (E) and Aspartic acid (D) at position 6 of gluten specific TCR CDR3 $\beta$ s [27] (Additional file 1: Fig. 4Sc and d). In addition, except in the nucleotide subsequence based analysis of CD Gut, the list of enriched CDR3ßs from both CD PBMC and CD Gut contained significantly high number of previously reported CD-associated CDR3ß sequences by Qiao et al., Han et al. and Petersen et al. [17, 25, 26] than was expected by chance, as determined by both using a randomization test or a straight forward comparison to the proportion of previously known celiac disease associated CDR3s in the total repertoire of the combined dataset of all samples (Table 1 and Additional file 1: Table 4S, see Additional file 1: Tables 2S and 3S for the list of previously known celiac disease associated CDR3 identified by the method). There was also high overlap between the known CD clonotypes detected by the nt 4-mer and aa 3-mer approaches (Additional file 1: Fig. 3Sc and d). The method detected known CD associated CDR3s mostly from CD4+T-cells in the CD PBMC (as determined by referring to the T-cell types in the previous reports bearing the CDR3s) and CD8+T-cells from the CD gut datasets (Table 1). There was no detection of any known CD associated CDR3ßs among the list of de-enriched sequences obtained from both nucleotide 4-mer and amino-acid 3-mer based analyses of CD PBMC, while for CD Gut, two known CD clonotypes were de-enriched in the nucleotide 4-mer and one in the amino-acid 3-mer analyses (Additional file 1: Table 3S).

We also used the method on the publicly available yellow fever vaccination T-cell CDR3 $\beta$  repertoire datasets, YFV PBMC (n=9) [13] and YFV PBMC from twins (n=6) [16] to identify CDR3 $\beta$  sequences responding to the YF-17D vaccine. We compared the total pre-vaccination (day 0) PBMC repertoires to the total post-vaccination (day



14 or day 15) PBMC repertoires. The list of enriched CDR3 $\beta$ s the method identified contained significantly high numbers of vaccine induced CDR3 $\beta$ s that were reported in the original publications (Table 1 and Additional file 1: Table 4S. For the list of all detected YFV associated CDR3s see Additional file 2). For the YFV PBMC dataset, it identified 2620 enriched clonotypes across all individuals of which 697 (~27%) are in the YFV-induced day 14 effector CD8+T-Cell CDR3 $\beta$ s that were statistically determined to be expanded (compared to day 0 total repertoires) in the original publication.

Dataset	known condition- associated CDR3s in all samples (*likely CD4, CD8)	Feature space	Known condition- associated CDR3s in DA enriched (likely CD4, CD8)	Permutation test p value (CD4, CD8)
CD PBMC	56 (20, 36)	nt 4-mer	14 (9, 3)	p=0.0 (0.0, 0.09)
CD PBMC	56 (20, 36)	aa 3-mer	10 (7, 2)	p=0.0 (0.0, 0.33)
CD GUT	50 (23, 24)	nt 4-mer	3 (0, 3)	p=0.48 (1, 0.05)
CD GUT	50 (23, 24)	aa 3-mer	5 (1, 4)	p=0.13 (0.85, 0.02)
YFV PBMC	12,092	nt 4-mer	697	p = 0.0
Twin YFV PBMC	5730	nt 4-mer	2058	p = 0.0

 Table 1
 Previously known condition-associated CDR3s in the list of DA enriched CDR3s identified by the method (using randomization test)

\* Previously reported CD associated CDR3s are assumed likely CD4 and CD8 based on their reported CD4 and CD8 status in the papers they were reported. For some such information was not available

p values show non-significant results

Similar analysis in the original DeWitt et al. study identified 848 such enriched clonotypes across all samples that were also present in the expanded YFV-induced day 14 effector CD8+T-Cell CDR3ßs, showing that we obtained comparable results by comparing the sample groups directly. Since we compared the total day 14 versus day 0 repertoires, the identified enriched clonotypes contain not just CD8+but also expanded T-cells that are CD4+ and other CD8+ T-cells that do not have the exact markers used to sort for the YFV-induced day 14 effector CD8+T-Cell population, as was noted similarly in the original publication [13]. For the twin YFV PBMC dataset, the method identified 4152 enriched clonotypes of which 2058 (~50%) were present in the expanded clonotypes reported by Pogorelyy et al. [16]. Interestingly, 223 of the detected enriched clonotypes for the twin YFV PBMC dataset were present in the expanded YFV-induced day 14 effector CD8+T-Cell CDR3ßs of the YFV PBMC dataset from DeWitt et al., of these, 122 were detected only by our method and were not present in the expanded clonotypes reported by the twin YFV PBMC study by Pogorelyy et al. [16]. Furthermore, we checked the number of YFV (A02-NS4b<sub>214-222</sub>-specific) versus CMV (cytomegalovirus) specific TCR $\beta$  sequences in our list of enriched clonotypes as was done in the original study by Pogorelyy et al. Our method identified no CMV-specific clonotypes, and identified significantly more published YFV-specific clonotypes with exact matches than was reported among the expanded clonotypes in the original study (Additional file 1: Table 5S). Overall, the results from the YFV datasets suggest that the method can find utility in various study types where detection of T-cell clonotypes with significant expansion is required.

To benchmark method performance, we compared the method against four recently published methods, as well as against results obtained by the method when using germline gene usage for grouping clonotypes instead of k-mer based clustering (in step 1 and 2 of the method). Using our CD PBMC dataset, we looked at how many of the 56 previously known CD-associated CDR3s (that exist in the CD PBMC dataset) the methods detect, as well as evaluated the methods' recall and precision. Our method (RepAn, nt 4-mer based) identified 14 of the 56 known CD associated CDR3s as differentially enriched, whose proportion in comparison to total identified enriched clonotypes is similar to all other methods except ALICE and the public only methods, which are all highly conservative and thus have much lower recall (Additional file 1: Table 6S). The method has the second highest recall and precision, next to the DeWitt's method or third highest in precision if we include the Yohannes et.al method that detects only public clonotypes. It outperformed all germline gene usage based variants of RepAn in both recall and precision. Thus, the method provides detection of both public and private clonotypes with comparatively high recall and precision, while being the only method that allows direct population level analysis (Additional file 1: Table 6S, see Additional file 2 for the list of the 56 CD-associated CDR3s in the CDPBMC dataset along with those detected by the methods). We note that the shared detection between any two of these methods is rather low (Additional file 1: Fig. 6S) and thus a criteria of detection in at least one other method for assessing precision and recall was used.

# Discussion

The computational pipeline we present in this work allows comparison of total immune repertoires between sample groups to identify both public and private CDR3 clonotypes associated with conditions. To our knowledge, this is the only currently available method for direct population level comparison of TCR CDR3 repertoires, with incorporated population-wide statistical assessment of TCR clonotypes' condition-relevance, and thus provides improved ability for examining and monitoring immune responses.

We made two main assumptions in our proposed method. Firstly, we assumed that the immune repertoire specific to an antigen or epitope would contain T-cell clones with high similarity in their T-cell receptors (TCRs) forming a cluster (or group of clusters) that is distinct from other T-cells not specific to the antigen. This assumption originally stemmed from observations of the celiac disease associated CDR3β sequences in our and other previous studies [9, 17, 28]. Recent works by Dash et.al. and Glanville et.al. [21, 22] showed that tetramer sorted antigen specific TCRs from different individuals have high sequence similarity and could be grouped into clusters with common specificity to an antigen, further justifying the validity of our assumption. Secondly, we assumed that the clusters of TCRs specific to an antigen encode an important immuno-genomic information in the immune response that is shared across unrelated individuals and could probably be detected from the global repertoire and across treatment conditions. We show that k-mer frequency vectors capture immune information from all parts of CDR3 sequences beyond what could be captured by simple grouping of CDR3s based on germline gene usage, with the most discriminative k-mers between sub-repertoires dominated predominantly by those arising also from non-templated insertion/deletion regions of CDR3s. Our method based on such nucleotide 4-mers or amino acid 3-mers allowed dissection of total immune repertoires into units (meaningful sub-repertoires) that exist across individuals, and thus successfully identified previously reported and new condition-associated CDR3ß sequences (both private and public) from the datasets we analyzed with better precision, demonstrating the validity of our assumption.

Various ways of representing the CDR3 sequences have been used in recent immune repertoire studies in order to ascertain sequence similarity. Thomas et al. used Atchley factors to represent amino-acid subsequences of CDR3 [29, 30], although applied for classification of total repertoire samples. Direct comparison of the receptor sequences is also possible without CDR3 representation by numeric vectors. Dash et al. defined a metric called TCRdist, that uses the amino acid receptor sequences directly, with a

weighted Hamming distance of the amino acid sequences of not only the CDR3, but also the CDR1 and CDR2 of both alpha and beta chains to determine the distance between two T-cell receptors [21], while Glanville et al. combined hamming distance between amino acid CDR3 sequences, with usage patterns of k-mer subsequences in structurally determined positions of high antigen contact propensity to measure distances between pairs of CDR3s [22]. We represented CDR3 sequences using a simple, high dimensional subsequence frequency vector, which was then used to define distance in that feature space and cluster CDR3 sequences into similar groups. Greiff et al. recently found such immuno-genomic representation of CDR3s to be highly meaningful in allowing the prediction of private versus public CDR3 sequences with high accuracy [31]. We showed that such k-mer frequency based representation allows detection of both public and private condition relevant clonotypes. Particularly for the private clonotypes, their importance is assessed by proxy, i.e., via the detection of their sequence composition similarity, and importantly their repeated detection as relevant clonotypes within sub-repertoires deemed differentially abundant in multiple downsampled analyses, providing replicated evidence of relevance.

We evaluated method performance by comparing the detected CDR3s to known antigen binding condition-associated CDR3s from previous studies. The method detected statistically significant numbers of known condition-associated CDR3s (for both celiac disease and yellow fever vaccination datasets) than could be obtained by chance. The detected CDR3s showed significant bias in V-gene and per-position amino acid usages typical of known condition-associated CDR3s, validating the high usability of the proposed method. The method also compared favorably to recently published methods in detecting known celiac disease associated clonotypes enriched in our dataset. It showed relatively high recall and precision in an assessment using concordant detection of enriched clonotypes between the methods to define possible true and false detection.

While we did not directly assess the impact of HLA-type differences in the method's performance, we presume that the method could pick HLA-associated TCRs unless HLA-types are fairly randomized in the compared groups. Our CD datasets were matched for at least one copy of HLA-DQ2, which has the strongest genetic association to CD. No HLA information was available for the public dataset YFV PBMC, while the twin YFV PBMC dataset was HLA-matched for HLA-A\*02, which restricts an immuno-dominant YFV epitope (NS4b<sub>214–222</sub>), but is not otherwise the only HLA association to YFV [32, 33], thus the results from the YFV datasets were largely HLA-independent. The method can be extended to include k-mer frequencies in the other complementarity-determining regions of the TCR beta-chain (CDR1 and CDR2), and alpha-chain (CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3), capturing a more thorough information of clonotypes' specificity to antigens. In the case of CDR1 and CDR2 (encoded by the V genes), this could allow the method to be more sensitive to the effects of HLA, as CDR1 and CDR2, unlike CDR3, make contact only with the Major histocompatibility complex (MHC), and not directly to the antigen peptide in the pMHC site, modulating TCR specificity indirectly [34].

Condition-associated TCR CDR3s are not fully known for many multifactorial autoimmune or cancer related diseases. For diseases with known antigen, such as celiac disease (CD) where some information about the antigen (gluten) is known, specific protocols like tetramers and/or sorting would have to be designed to characterize the antigen-specific T-cells, their CDR3s, and other phenotypes in detail. Although highly useful, such methods designed to select antigen-specific repertoires are unable to detect T-cell clones responding to other important immune targets other than gluten, either self or foreign, possibly ignoring a crucial part of the immune response that would explain the pathology all the more. Methods such as the one presented in this study that attempt to detect condition-relevant T-cell clones from total repertoires, without necessarily having prior knowledge of the immune target (or targets), coupled with techniques that profile the overall gene expression of the conditionassociated T-cell clones, would potentially provide a highly comprehensive picture of the adaptive immune response. This leads to a much more complete understanding of such diseases in-terms of unraveling the hidden pieces of the puzzle, and could provide ways for the prediction of the unknown immune targets. For diseases in which the antigens are totally unknown, the application of such methods could lead to the identification of the associated CDR3 sequences that could serve as immune-response bio-markers with possible clinical application, as well as enabling their comparison to other known disease associated CDR3 sequences available in CDR3 databases [35, 36], potentially allowing prediction of their possible target antigen via specificity estimates that can be obtained using recently reported TCR-epitope specificity prediction methods [37].

Main limitations of the methodology include restricted repertoire representativeness and computational intensiveness, both arising from the immense diversity of immune repertoires. There are millions of unique CDR3 sequences in every person, each representing a T-cell clone, and most found only in a single person. Only tens to hundreds of thousands of unique CDR3s are being sampled with the current Repseq technology per sample. Since calculating pairwise distances for potentially hundreds of thousands of CDR3s is computationally intensive, if not infeasible, we adopted repeat resampling and applied the methodology a repeated number times with randomly selected smaller repertoire samples. The number of repeat resample runs chosen and the repertoire size of each repertoire resamples determines the computational resources required and exhaustiveness of the result. Additionally, usage of bigger k sizes for the k-mer frequency based CDR3 encoding is computationally intensive. While our choice of k = 4 for nt and k = 3 for as is based on their successful applications in other studies (see Methods), analyses of ks from 2 to 8 for nt k-mers showed no substantial difference, or gain in detection capacity, while at the same time resulting in significant increase of computational requirements as k increases (Additional file 1: Fig. 7S). As Repseq datasets are already huge with thousands of clonotypes in multiple samples, the use of smaller k is a better tradeoff. We ran the method for all datasets on a supercomputer cluster using a single node with 24 cores. Running the method with 100 resample rounds for the CD PBMC and CD Gut datasets took around one hour using nt 4-mers, and 15 and 8 h respectively, when using aa 3-mers. A combined memory of approximately 100 GB was used for both nt and aa based analyses. For the two bigger YFV datasets, 600 resample rounds needed 40 to 50 h for an nt 4-mer based analysis with a maximum of 322 GB of memory used (Additional file 1: Table 7S). As the results from using nt 4-mers are highly comparable to those obtained by aa 3-mers, using the method with the nt 4-mer option is the better option for bigger datasets whenever clonotype nucleotide data is available.

# Conclusions

To conclude, by clustering CDR3 sequences into groups with similar immuno-genomic features, and finding their close matches across different samples, we showed that condition-associated CDR3 sequences that are private or public, and with significant differential abundance, can be detected by direct comparison of groups of samples. The approach paves the way for the identification of private or public CDR3s (and their features) associated with diseases or other important phenotypes such as HLA-type, further allowing comprehensive categorization and archiving of T-cell clonotypes. This has a vast potential in understanding the adaptive immune response in various disease conditions and disease development stages, identifying unknown self or foreign antigens in diseases with unknown immune targets, examining immunological history encoded in the immune response.

# Methods

Our methodology narrows down the highly diverse immune repertoire data and helps to identify disease associated differentially abundant CDR3 sequences by comparing samples from two treatment groups. As input, it expects high-throughput genomic T-cell receptor CDR3 sequences (of either CDR3 $\alpha$  or CDR3 $\beta$ ) in immunoseq format [38] for every sample, which is a tab-delimited text file with each row containing information about a CDR3 and its features (its Nucleotide & Amino acid sequences, CDR3 length, frequency, V-,D-,and J-gene segment usage, etc.). Additionally, it also requires information regarding the experimental condition of every sample. The method can also accept MiXCR [39] formatted cDNA repertoire data, in which case the analysis results should be interpreted as differential expression of clonotypes instead of clonal expansion (enrichment) or contraction (de-enrichment). Referring to each such repertoire file simply as a sample, the method processes the samples in four major steps to identify differentially abundant CDR3 sequences (Fig. 1):

CDR3 clustering: each CDR3 in a sample is first represented using a high dimensional k-mer frequency vector by counting the frequency of each possible contiguous nucleotide (nt) or amino acid (aa) subsequences in the CDR3. We have typically used k=4 for nt k-mers, resulting in a feature vector size of 256, or k=3 for aa k-mers resulting in a feature vector size of 8000. Our choices for these ks are based on previous successful applications of using sequence composition for meaningful unsupervised clustering in similarly high diversity datasets. Nucleotide 4-mer (tetranucleotide) frequencies have been used extensively in metagenomic binning for assignment of reads into taxonomic groups [40–42], and we surmised, its adoption in nucleotide composition based TCR grouping is reasonable as TCR repertoires may mirror the major groups of environmental antigens the TCRs engage. For amino acid TCRs, clustering a 3-mers based amino acid Atchley factor encoding of TCRs has been shown to allow better classification of a whole repertoire's immunization status [29].

Next, the high-dimensional k-mer frequency vectors are used to perform unsupervised clustering of the CDR3 sequences within each sample (using agglomerative hierarchical clustering, with the complete linkage method which showed better cluster stability with more number of clusters-or "zooming-in", Additional file 1: Figure 1S). We employed the Euclidean distance to determine the distance between a pair of CDR3 k-mer frequency vectors. After the hierarchical clustering, the dynamic tree cut algorithm is used to define the CDR3 clusters in each sample [43].

2. Cluster matching across samples: For each cluster in each sample, the average frequency for each k-mer is computed from the members of the cluster to get the cluster centroid. Here, k-mer frequencies in member clonotypes are not weighted by CDR3 abundances in the underlying data in order to have centroid k-mer frequencies reflect only the basic subsequence compositional characteristics of clusters, and to avoid bias due to sequencing depth differences when matching across samples. The centroids of clusters from all samples are collected together, and unsupervised clustering of the centroids is performed using either hierarchical clustering or K-means to group centroids based on closeness in k-mer frequency profiles. When using k-means, clustering of the centroids is performed using a k that has the maximum optimal-k (oK) score:

oK = (nS + avS)/2,

where nS is the proportion of clusters with silhouette value greater than the average silhouette (over all clusters), and avS is the average silhouette shifted to be between 0 and 1, by adding 1 and dividing by 2. oK values range from 0 to 1. To determine the k with maximum oK score, oK is computed for all k starting from the minimum to the maximum number of CDR3 clusters per sample observed across all samples (from the result of step 1).

Next, each cluster of centroids is examined and, if multiple centroids from one sample are determined to be in the same centroid cluster, the clusters of such centroids are merged in the original sample and the centroid updated. Otherwise, all clusters of centroids representing matching clusters from multiple samples (not necessarily all samples) are retained.

Given N samples, this step generates a cluster match table with oK rows and N columns, in which row entries represent CDR3 cluster labels from all samples that are close (or have matching) centroids, representing underlying features encoding conserved immunological features in all N or some of the samples (matching clusters may not be found in all samples). We refer to each row in this cluster match table as a sub-repertoire.

3. Differential abundance testing: sub-repertoires that exist in at least x number of samples per group are first selected (default is in at least 3). A sub-repertoire abundance matrix is then generated for the selected sub-repertoires, which contains the abundance of each sub-repertoire in each sample. This sub-repertoire abundance matrix can be generated in different ways. Typically, the original samples are first normalized to same total CDR3 sizes (abundances). Then, the abundance of a sub-repertoire in a sample is calculated as the sum of CDR3 counts belonging to that sub-repertoire in the sample; or the relative frequency of that sum is used as a relative abundance

estimate; or to avoid bias in the previous two abundance estimates that might arise due to differences in the number of CDR3s per sub-repertoire in a sample, the relative clone size in sub-repertoires is used as a proxy for abundance (i.e., average clone size in sub-repertoire / average clone size in sample). Next, for each sub-repertoire, differential abundance testing between the two groups of samples is performed using various tests. We used t-test, and two-class, unpaired, RankProd [44] test, both of which work well. The CDR3 sequences belonging to significantly differentially abundant (DA) sub-repertoires are then extracted from each sample; these are candidate DA CDR3 sequences.

4. Filtering candidate DA CDR3s: DA sub-repertoires are not "pure" and contain CDR3s that are not necessarily associated with the condition thus requiring further filtering. To do this, all candidate DA CDR3 sequences (i.e., all CDR3 sequences that are in DA sub-repertoires) are first ranked as follows. For each candidate CDR3 *i*, a rank sum, *C<sub>i</sub>*, is computed by adding the candidate's ranks from 6 factors:

$$C_i = \sum_{k=1}^{6} R_{ki}$$

where  $R_{1i}$  is candidate *i*'s importance in classifying the groups (random forest mean decrease in accuracy [45, 46]),  $R_{2i}$  is its mean fisher's exact test p value calculated by comparing its abundance in each paired samples separately if data is paired/matched, or mean of mean fisher's exact test p values calculated by comparing its abundance in a sample to every sample in the other group if data is unpaired/unmatched,  $R_{3i}$ is its mean odds ratio from the fisher's exact test calculated for R<sub>2</sub> with paired and unpaired samples handled as in R2, R4i is its mean estimated increase in nucleotide to amino acid (nt-to-aa) ratio in the condition group compared to the control group (nt-to-aa in condition / nt-to-aa in control) to account for its level of convergent selection, calculated as a mean of such values obtained for it from each paired samples separately if data is paired/matched, or as mean of mean such values obtained for it by comparing its nt-to-aa in each sample to every sample in the other group if data is unpaired/unmatched,  $R_{5i}$  is the difference in the number of samples per group in which it exists, to account for its degree of condition induced "public-ness", and  $R_{6i}$  is the number of times it has been detected in repeat resample runs of the DA analysis (Repseq datasets are huge datasets and require a lot of computational resources, we thus perform repeat sub-sampling of the raw datasets and run the differential abundance analysis of steps 1-3 for each resampled datasets, the candidate DA CDR3 sequences from each round are collected, CDR3 sequences that have been detected as candidate in multiple repeat resamples are given higher rank, i.e., rank of 1). The ranking in each factor is defined differently for assessing enrichment and de-enrichment. When assessing enrichment highest rank is given for highest mean decrease in accuracy for R<sub>1</sub>, smallest p value for R<sub>2</sub>, highest odds ratio for R<sub>3</sub>, highest nt-to-aa ratio for R<sub>4</sub>, highest increase in detection in the condition/treatment group for R<sub>5</sub>, and highest number of detection in multiple runs of the analysis for R<sub>6</sub>. For assessment of de-enrichment, highest rank is given for highest mean decrease in accuracy for R<sub>1</sub>, smallest p value for R<sub>2</sub>, lowest odds ratio for R<sub>3</sub>, lowest nt-to-aa ratio

for  $R_4$ , highest decrease in detection in the condition/treatment group for  $R_5$ , and highest number of detection in multiple runs of the analysis for R<sub>6</sub> The minimum value of 1 in each factor R signifies high rank. Since the range of rank values is different for each rank type, all Rs are scaled to be between 0 and 1 by subtracting the minimum and dividing by the range. We then calculate the p value for  $C_i$  using a randomization test, as the proportion of n rank sum values, calculated from n permutations (random shuffling of all Rs from the 6 factors, we typically used n = 1000), that are equal or less than  $C_i$ . We consider candidate DA CDR3s with C p values less than 0.05 and q-value (minimal FDR at each p value) less than 0.05 as differentially abundant CDR3s. All six ranking factors were given equal weight in our analysis in the calculation of the rank sum C, but different weights could be used for each factor depending on the application. For false discovery rate estimation, we used a decoybased strategy by including in the analysis randomly drawn CDR3 sequences from a reference database of healthy TCR CDR3 PBMC repertoires to each sample's repertoire data. The rate of false detection of the decoy CDR3s was used to estimate the FDR and q-value at each p value level for all candidate CDR3s ordered from smallest to highest C p values.

# Datasets for testing the method

We used four TCR CDR3 $\beta$  immune repertoire datasets to test the method (Additional file 1: Table 1S). Celiac disease (CD) PBMC (n=4) and Gut (n=5) datasets of our celiac disease study cohort [9], yellow fever vaccination (YFV) PBMC dataset from DeWitt et al. (n=9) [13] obtained from the public immune repertoire database, immuneAC-CESS, of Adaptive Biotechnologies (immuneACCESS, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seat-tle, WA. Available from: http://adaptivebiotech.com/pub/dewitt-2015-jvi), and twin yellow fever vaccination (twinYFV) PBMC dataset (n=6) from Pogorelyy et al. [16] obtained from their github (https://github.com/mptouzel/pogorelyy\_et\_al\_2018).

## Method application on test datasets

We applied the method in the following manner for the celiac disease TCR CDR3B repertoire datasets in this work: We filtered out clonotypes with a size of 1, then, (1) 100 runs of steps 1 to 3 of the pipeline using randomly selected subsamples of 5000 unique CDR3β sequences for each sample. (2) Within sample CDR3β clustering using either nucleotide 4-mers or amino acid 3-mer feature vectors, and across sample cluster matching using k-means in step 2. (3) Sub-repertoire abundance matrix was generated by summing the abundance of CDR3s belonging to each sub-repertoire in each sample. Sub-repertoire level differential abundance detection was performed on sub-repertoires that exist in at least 3 samples per group using paired t-test with the p value cut-off of 0.1. We found from evaluations of results from multiple runs that the less stringent p value cut-off for comparing sub-repertoire abundances across samples helps increase the signal to noise ratio by providing the right level of "zoomingin", without compromising detection capacity in downstream steps of the pipeline. 4) Combining the candidate CDR3 $\beta$ s from the 100 runs and performing the filtering step, CDR3 $\beta$ s with p value and q-value less than 0.05 were then considered condition-associated CDR3 $\beta$  sequences. The method was applied similarly for the huge

yellow fever vaccination datasets (YFV and twinYFV) with the following changes: a) performed 600 runs of steps 1 to 3 (instead of 100, to allow exhaustive assessment of all clonotypes in all samples) with subsamples of 5000 CDR3 $\beta$  sequences per sample in each run, b) and performed only nucleotide 4-mer based analyses. The selected numbers for repeated runs of steps 1 to 3 of the method with down-sampled data allow exhaustive assessment of nearly all clonotypes in each dataset (Additional file 1: Fig. 8S).

Detailed description of the datasets, benchmarking, and characterization of detected condition associated CDR3s is given in the supplementary information section in Additional file 1.

#### Abbreviations

CD: Celiac disease; CD Gut: The celiac disease gut biopsy repertoire dataset used in the study; CD PBMC: The celiac disease PBMC repertoire dataset used in the study; CDR1: Complementarity-determining regions 1; CDR2: Complementarity-determining regions 3; CDR3β: Complementarity-determining regions 4; HLA: Human leukocyte antigen; MHC: Major histocompatibility complex; pMHC: Peptide bound to Major histocompatibility complex; RepAn: Repertoire differential abundance analysis, the name of the method being reported; RepSeq: Deep, next generation repertoire sequencing; TCR: T-cell receptor; TRBD: T-cell receptor beta chain diversity gene; TRBJ: T-cell receptor beta chain joining gene; TRBV: T-cell receptor beta chain variable gene; twin YFV PBMC: The twin yellow fever vaccination repertoire dataset used in the study; YFV PBMC: The yellow fever vaccination repertoire dataset used in the study; YFV Sty PBMC: The yellow fever vaccination repertoire beta chain.

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-021-04087-7.

Additional file 1. Supplementary Information, Tables and Figures.

Additional file 2. Complete list of all the detected condition-associated CDR3s from all four datasets.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Anne Heimonen, Marja-Terttu Oksanen, Hanne Ahola and Andrea de Kauwe for their help in patient recruitment, sample collection and handling, and laboratory work. We also thank Rigbe G. Weldatsadik for useful discussions and proof reading of the manuscript. We acknowledge CSC—IT Center for Science, Finland, for providing computational resources.

#### Authors' contributions

Study concept and design: DAY, DG, PS; acquisition of study samples, technical and material support: KK, KK, PS; acquisition of data: PS, DAY; analysis and interpretation of data: DAY, PS, DG; computational pipeline design and statistical analysis: DAY, DG; pipeline implementation: DAY; manuscript drafting: DAY, DG, PS; critical revision of manuscript: DG, PS, KK, KK; Study supervision: PS & DG. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland, European Commission (Marie Curie Excellence Grant);Sigrid Juselius Foundation; the Competitive State Research Financing of the Expert Area of Tampere University Hospital; and by SalWe Research Programs INTELLIGENT MONITORING and GET IT DONE funded by Tekes—the Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, and final preparation of the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

The CD PBMC and CD Gut datasets are available as example datasets in the R implementation of the method which is at https://github.com/Greco-Lab/RepAn. The YFV PBMC dataset can be accessed from immuneACCESS (available at: http://adaptivebiotech.com/pub/dewitt-2015-jvi). The twin YFV PBMC dataset can be obtained from Pogorelyy et al. publication's github repository (https://github.com/mptouzel/pogorelyy\_et\_al\_2018).

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

For the celiac disease repertoire datasets CD PBMC and CD Gut, the study design and recruiting of patients were approved by the Ethics Committees of the Pirkanmaa Hospital District, Finland. All subjects gave written informed consent. The yellow fever vaccination repertoire datasets are publicly available data for re-analysis as was done in our current method.

## **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Research Programs Unit, Translational Immunology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical and Clinical Genetics, University of Helsinki, Finland. <sup>3</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland. <sup>4</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Tampere University Hospital and Center for Child Health Research, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland. <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland. <sup>6</sup> BioMediTech Institute, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland. <sup>7</sup> Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

# Received: 30 July 2020 Accepted: 17 March 2021 Published online: 25 March 2021

#### References

- Benichou J, Ben-Hamo R, Louzoun Y, Efroni S. Rep-Seq: uncovering the immunological repertoire through nextgeneration sequencing. Immunology. 2012;135(3):183–91.
- Vanhanen R, Heikkilä N, Aggarwal K, Hamm D, Tarkkila H, Pätilä T, et al. T cell receptor diversity in the human thymus. Mol Immunol. 2016;1(76):116–22.
- 3. Qi Q, Liu Y, Cheng Y, Glanville J, Zhang D, Lee J-Y, et al. Diversity and clonal selection in the human T-cell repertoire. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2014;111(36):13139–44.
- Venturi V, Price DA, Douek DC, Davenport MP. The molecular basis for public T-cell responses? Nat Rev Immunol. 2008;8(3):231–8.
- 5. Li H, Ye C, Ji G, Han J. Determinants of public T cell responses. Cell Res. 2012;22(1):33-42.
- Benati D, Galperin M, Lambotte O, Gras S, Lim A, Mukhopadhyay M, et al. Public T cell receptors confer high-avidity CD4 responses to HIV controllers. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(6):2093–108.
- Covacu R, Philip H, Jaronen M, Almeida J, Kenison JE, Darko S, et al. System-wide analysis of the T cell response. Cell Rep. 2016;14(11):2733–44.
- Madi A, Shifrut E, Reich-Zeliger S, Gal H, Best K, Ndifon W, et al. T-cell receptor repertoires share a restricted set of public and abundant CDR3 sequences that are associated with self-related immunity. Genome Res. 2014;24(10):1603–12.
- 9. Yohannes DA, Freitag TL, de Kauwe A, Kaukinen K, Kurppa K, Wacklin P, et al. Deep sequencing of blood and gut T-cell receptor  $\beta$ -chains reveals gluten-induced immune signatures in celiac disease. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):17977.
- Emerson RO, DeWitt WS, Vignali M, Gravley J, Hu JK, Osborne EJ, et al. Immunosequencing identifies signatures of cytomegalovirus exposure history and HLA-mediated effects on the T cell repertoire. Nat Genet. 2017;49(5):659–65.
- 11. Pogorelyy MV, Minervina AA, Chudakov DM, Mamedov IZ, Lebedev YB, Mora T, et al. Method for identification of condition-associated public antigen receptor sequences. Elife. 2018;7:e33050.
- 12. Risnes LF, Christophersen A, Dahal-Koirala S, Neumann RS, Sandve GK, Sarna VK, et al. Disease-driving CD4+ T cell clonotypes persist for decades in celiac disease. J Clin Invest. 2018;128(6):2642–50.
- DeWitt WS, Emerson RO, Lindau P, Vignali M, Snyder TM, Desmarais C, et al. Dynamics of the cytotoxic T cell response to a model of acute viral infection. J Virol. 2015;89(8):4517–26.
- Rytlewski J, Deng S, Xie T, Davis C, Robins H, Yusko E, et al. Model to improve specificity for identification of clinicallyrelevant expanded T cells in peripheral blood. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(3):e0213684.
- Pogorelyy MV, Minervina AA, Shugay M, Chudakov DM, Lebedev YB, Mora T, et al. Detecting T cell receptors involved in immune responses from single repertoire snapshots. PLOS Biol. 2019;17(6):e3000314.
- Pogorelyy MV, Minervina AA, Touzel MP, Sycheva AL, Komech EA, Kovalenko EI, et al. Precise tracking of vaccineresponding T cell clones reveals convergent and personalized response in identical twins. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2018;115(50):12704–9.
- 17. Qiao S-W, Ráki M, Gunnarsen KS, Løset G-Å, Lundin KE, Sandlie I, et al. Posttranslational modification of gluten shapes TCR usage in celiac disease. J Immunol. 2011;187(6):3064–71.
- 18. Qiao S-W, Christophersen A, Lundin KEA, Sollid LM. Biased usage and preferred pairing of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -chains of TCRs specific for an immunodominant gluten epitope in coeliac disease. Int Immunol. 2013;26:13–9.
- Agathangelidis A, Darzentas N, Hadzidimitriou A, Brochet X, Murray F, Yan X-J, et al. Stereotyped B-cell receptors in one-third of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a molecular classification with implications for targeted therapies. Blood. 2012;119(19):4467–75.
- Darzentas N, Stamatopoulos K. Stereotyped B cell receptors in B cell leukemias and lymphomas. Methods Mol Biol Clifton NJ. 2013;971:135–48.
- Dash P, Fiore-Gartland AJ, Hertz T, Wang GC, Sharma S, Souquette A, et al. Quantifiable predictive features define epitope-specific T cell receptor repertoires. Nature. 2017;547:89–93.
- 22. Glanville J, Huang H, Nau A, Hatton O, Wagar LE, Rubelt F, et al. Identifying specificity groups in the T cell receptor repertoire. Nature. 2017;547(7661):94–8.
- Broughton SE, Petersen J, Theodossis A, Scally SW, Loh KL, Thompson A, et al. Biased T cell receptor usage directed against human leukocyte antigen DQ8-restricted gliadin peptides is associated with celiac disease. Immunity. 2012;37(4):611–21.
- 24. Jabri B, Sollid LM. T cells in celiac disease. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. 2017;198(8):3005-14.

- Han A, Newell EW, Glanville J, Fernandez-Becker N, Khosla C, Chien Y-H, et al. Dietary gluten triggers concomitant activation of CD4+ and CD8+ αβT cells and γδT cells in celiac disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(32):13073–8.
- Petersen J, Montserrat V, Mujico JR, Loh KL, Beringer DX, van Lummel M, et al. T-cell receptor recognition of HLA-DQ2-gliadin complexes associated with celiac disease. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2014;21(5):480–8.
- Hovhannisyan Z, Weiss A, Martin A, Wiesner M, Tollefsen S, Yoshida K, et al. The role of HLA-DQ8 β57 polymorphism in the anti-gluten T-cell response in coeliac disease. Nature. 2008;456(7221):534–8.
- Dahal-Koirala S, Risnes LF, Christophersen A, Sarna VK, Lundin KE, Sollid LM, et al. TCR sequencing of single cells reactive to DQ2.5-glia-α2 and DQ2.5-glia-ω2 reveals clonal expansion and epitope-specific V-gene usage. Mucosal Immunol. 2016;9(3):587–96.
- 29. Thomas N, Best K, Cinelli M, Reich-Zeliger S, Gal H, Shifrut E, et al. Tracking global changes induced in the CD4 T-cell receptor repertoire by immunization with a complex antigen using short stretches of CDR3 protein sequence. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30:3181–8.
- Atchley WR, Zhao J, Fernandes AD, Drüke T. Solving the protein sequence metric problem. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102(18):6395–400.
- Greiff V, Weber CR, Palme J, Bodenhofer U, Miho E, Menzel U, et al. Learning the high-dimensional immunogenomic features that predict public and private antibody repertoires. J Immunol. 2017;199(8):2985–97.
- 32. Akondy RS, Monson ND, Miller JD, Edupuganti S, Teuwen D, Wu H, et al. The yellow fever virus vaccine induces a broad and polyfunctional human memory CD8+ T cell response. J Immunol. 2009;183(12):7919–30.
- 33. de Melo AB, Nascimento EJM, Braga-Neto U, Dhalia R, Silva AM, Oelke M, et al. T-cell memory responses elicited by yellow fever vaccine are targeted to overlapping epitopes containing multiple HLA-I and -II binding motifs. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(1):1938.
- Aversa I, Malanga D, Fiume G, Palmieri C. Molecular T-cell repertoire analysis as source of prognostic and predictive biomarkers for checkpoint blockade immunotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(7):2378.
- Tickotsky N, Sagiv T, Prilusky J, Shifrut E, Friedman N. McPAS-TCR: a manually curated catalogue of pathology-associated T cell receptor sequences. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2017;33(18):2924–9.
- Shugay M, Bagaev DV, Zvyagin IV, Vroomans RM, Crawford JC, Dolton G, et al. VDJdb: a curated database of T-cell receptor sequences with known antigen specificity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D419–27.
- Gielis S, Moris P, Bittremieux W, De Neuter N, Ogunjimi B, Laukens K, et al. Detection of enriched T cell epitope specificity in full T cell receptor sequence repertoires. Front Immunol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02820/ full.
- Robins HS, Campregher PV, Srivastava SK, Wacher A, Turtle CJ, Kahsai O, et al. Comprehensive assessment of T-cell receptor beta-chain diversity in alphabeta T cells. Blood. 2009;114(19):4099–107.
- Bolotin DA, Poslavsky S, Mitrophanov I, Shugay M, Mamedov IZ, Putintseva EV, et al. MiXCR: software for comprehensive adaptive immunity profiling. Nat Methods. 2015;12(5):380–1.
- 40. Kang DD, Froula J, Egan R, Wang Z. MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. PeerJ. 2015;27(3):e1165.
- Papudeshi B, Haggerty JM, Doane M, Morris MM, Walsh K, Beattie DT, et al. Optimizing and evaluating the reconstruction of Metagenome-assembled microbial genomes. BMC Genomics. 2017;18(1):915.
- 42. Metagenomic Binning. In: Genomic approaches in earth and environmental sciences. Chichester: Wiley; 2018. p. 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118708231.ch7.
- Langfelder P, Zhang B, Horvath S. Defining clusters from a hierarchical cluster tree: the dynamic tree cut package for R. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2008;24(5):719–20.
- Breitling R, Armengaud P, Amtmann A, Herzyk P. Rank products: a simple, yet powerful, new method to detect differentially regulated genes in replicated microarray experiments. FEBS Lett. 2004;573(1–3):83–92.
- 45. Ho TK. Random decision forests. In: Document analysis and recognition, 1995, proceedings of the third international conference on. IEEE; 1995. p. 278–82.
- 46. Liaw A, Wiener M. Classification and regression by randomForest. R News. 2002;2(3):18–22.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

